1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
10	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No: CR 03-40122-WHA
12	Plaintiff,) ORDER OF DETENTION
13	v.)
14	RAYMOND REISS,)
15	Defendant.)
16	
17	
18	This matter came before the Court on September 27, 2011, for detention hearing in
19	connection with a charge of violating supervised release conditions in the above matter on
20	July 21, 2011. The defendant was represented by Ben Schnayerson, and the government
21	was represented by Benjamin Tolkoff, Assistant United States Attorney.
22	As this matter involves an alleged violation of the terms of defendant's supervised
23	release, the defendant bears the burden of showing by clear and convincing evidence that
24	he does not pose a risk of flight and is not a danger to the safety of another person or the
25	community. <u>United States v. Loya</u> , 23 F.3d 1529, 1531 (9th Cir. 1994); 18 U.S.C.
26	§ 3143(a). After conducting a detention hearing, and carefully considering the proffers
27	from the parties and the Probation Department, the Court could not find by clear and
28	ORDER RE DETENTION CR 03-40122 WHA

convincing evidence that there are a set of conditions of release that can reasonably assure Defendant's appearance as required at court or that he does not pose a danger to another person or the community. The Court's finding was based, in part, on Defendant's long history of Form 12 violations, including violations on July 6, 2010, April 12, 2011, and July 12, 2011. Further, the most recent alleged violation, on July 21, 2011, was uncovered as a result of a search ordered by the district court as a consequence of the earlier violations of supervised release. Defendant's violations of supervised release also appear to be escalating in seriousness. While the Court appreciated that Defendant has a young family and a job and thus an incentive to abide by the terms of his supervised release, his recent history of violations demonstrated that he simply is not amenable to supervision at this time. For the foregoing reasons, Defendant is ordered DETAINED pending resolution of the Amended Form 12 petition filed July 25, 2011. DATED: September 30, 2011

ORDER RE DETENTION CR 03-40122 WHA